REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS
REQUEST FOR FEE PROPOSAL
PROGRESSIVE DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES
New High School
New Middle School

Response to Proposer Questions
Date: 02/21/18

1. Please confirm pricing intent for the Preconstruction Cost Proposal. RFFP Section 6.4 provides direction to price the Proposal with specific scopes to be included, and uses the verbiage “...but not limited to...”. The scope is silent in regards to services inherently important to DB projects including management of: the design and entitlement processes; design schedule and deliverables; on-going design to budget management, etc. Please include requirements for these activities along with definition for pricing to ensure level playing field. Also, the RFFP notes the Proposal to include billable hourly rates for this professional service. However, the Cost Allocation Matrix indicates all Preconstruction Work by GC is to be paid RAC, Reimbursed at Cost. Please clarify.

   Answer: This is a DRAFT document to assist proposers in understanding ISD’s proposed fee and cost structure. Actual positions listed in the final RFFP will reflect proposed positions for short-listed proposers. Further clarification to this document will be made available to short-listed proposers.

2. Please clarify the cost for Design-Builder’s self-performed work is to be RAC, and that the only fee allowed against such cost shall be via the Design-Builder’s Fee.

   Answer: All trade work performed on the project will sub-contracted or self-performed in the best interest of the project, applicable statutes and overall project goals. All costs incurred on the project including self-performed work will be reimbursed at cost. Contractors base fee will cover all home office overhead and profit as further defined in the Cost Responsibility Matrix.

3. The draft RFFP shows different positions and time commitments as it compares to the RFQ. Please clarify.

   Answer: The final RFFP will reflect the positions proposed by short-listed proposers and a reasonable duration for the purposes of evaluation of competing Specified General Conditions costs.